Stable manifolds of biholomorphisms in \mathbb{C}^n asymptotic to formal curves

Lorena López Hernanz

Universidad de Alcalá

Dynamics in dimension 1

Let $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a germ of biholomorphism of \mathbb{C}^n with F(0) = 0. We are interested in the existence of stable manifolds for F.

In the case n = 1, F(z) = az + ... with $a \neq 0$. The existence of stable manifolds is determined by the multiplier a:

- If |a| < 1, every orbit of *F* converges to 0.
- If |a| > 1, no orbit of F converges to 0.
- If *a* is a root of unity, either *F* is periodic or there exist stable manifolds (Leau-Fatou flower theorem)
- If |a| = 1 and a is not a root of unity, there are no orbits converging to 0 (Pérez-Marco).

Leau-Fatou flower theorem



Dynamics in dimension $n \ge 2$

For $n \ge 2$, we study the orbits which converge and are asymptotic to a given formal curve Γ . This means that the orbit converges tangentially to Γ and that property is preserved under blow-ups.

(Equivalently (for n = 2 and Γ non-singular), if $\gamma(s) = (s, a_1s + a_2s^2 + ...)$ is a parametrization of Γ then an orbit $\{(x_j, y_j)\}$ is asymptotic to Γ if for any $N \ge 0$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that for all j

$$|y_j - (a_1x_j - a_2x_j^2 - \cdots - a_Nx_j^N)| \le C_N|x_j|^{N+1}.)$$

In this case, Γ is necessarily invariant for F, i.e. $F(\gamma(s)) = \gamma(\theta(s))$ for some $\theta(s)$.

Necessary condition: Because of the one-dimensional results, we need that either

 $\left|\left({\mathit{F}}|_{\Gamma}\right)'(0)\right|<1 \quad \text{or} \quad \left({\mathit{F}}|_{\Gamma}\right)'(0) \text{ is a root of unity and } {\mathit{F}}|_{\Gamma} \text{ is not periodic}$

Theorem

Consider $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and let Γ be a formal invariant curve such that

 $|(F|_{\Gamma})'(0)| < 1$ or $(F|_{\Gamma})'(0)$ is a root of unity and $F|_{\Gamma}$ is not periodic

then there exist stable manifolds M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_r with dim $M_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ in which every orbit is asymptotic to Γ . Moreover, every orbit of F which converges and is asymptotic to Γ eventually lies in $M_1 \cup \cdots \cup M_r$.

- For n = 2: L-H, J. Ribón, J. Raissy, F. Sanz Sánchez, Stable manifolds of two-dimensional biholomorphisms asymptotic to formal curves, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2021.
- In the general case: L-H, J. Ribón, F. Sanz Sánchez, L. Vivas, Stable manifolds of biholomorphisms in Cⁿ asymptotic to formal curves, arXiv:2002.07102.

If $|(F|_{\Gamma})'(0)| < 1$, after some blow-ups following Γ we have that the other eigenvalues of DF(0) have modulus greater than 1, and by the stable manifold theorem Γ is analytic.

We assume then that $(F|_{\Gamma})'(0)$ is a root of unity and that $F|_{\Gamma}$ is not periodic. We look for a convenient normal form for the pair (F, Γ) which allows to describe the behavior of the orbits. In the two-dimensional case, we get this normal form with blow-ups following Γ . In the higher dimensional case we use a normal form for linear ODEs due to Turrittin, that can be adapted to vector fields, and we apply it to a formal vector field associated to F.

Up to an iteration of F, there exists a formal vector field X whose time-1 flow is F (Binyamini). We show that this vector field is geometrically significant: for example, the set of fixed points of F coincides with the singular locus of X and the formal invariant curves of F and X coincide.

We apply Turrittin reduction to the vector field X: after a finite number of holomorphic changes of coordinates, blow-ups and ramifications, the transformed vector field is in a convenient reduced form. In terms of the pair (F, Γ) , there exist coordinates $(x, \underline{y}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that Γ is non-singular and transverse to x = 0 and

$$x \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = x - x^{k+p+1} + O(x^{k+p+2})$$

$$\underline{y} \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = \exp(x^k (D(x) + x^p C)) \underline{y} + O(x^{k+p+1}),$$

where $k, p \ge 0$, $k + p \ge 1$, D(x) is a diagonal matrix of polynomials of degree at most p - 1 with $D(0) \ne 0$ and C is a constant matrix commuting with D(x).

$$x \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = x - x^{k+p+1} + O(x^{k+p+2})$$

$$\underline{y} \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = \exp(x^k (D(x) + x^p C))\underline{y} + O(x^{k+p+1})$$

If $\|\underline{y}\|$ is small, in the variable x we have k + p attracting directions and attracting petals. Let ℓ be one those attracting directions.

We use the time-1 flow of the vector field

$$x^{k}\left[-x^{p+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+(D(x)+x^{p}C)\underline{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}}
ight]$$

as a toy model for the dynamics. The orbits are

$$\underline{y} = c \exp\left(-\int \frac{D(x) + x^{p}C}{x^{p+1}} dx\right)$$

and their behavior around ℓ depends on the real part of D(x).

We say that $\ell = \mathbb{R}^+$ is a *node direction* for the variable y_i if

 $(\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}(A_{j0}),\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}(A_{j1}),\ldots,\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}(A_{j,p-1})) < 0$

in the lexicographic order, where $A_{j0} + A_{j1}x + \cdots + A_{j,p-1}x^{p-1}$ is the *j*-th entry of D(x). Otherwise, we say that $\ell = \mathbb{R}^+$ is a saddle direction.

If $\ell = \mathbb{R}^+$ is a node direction for exactly *s* variables, $0 \le s \le n-1$, we find a stable manifold of dimension s + 1.

$$x \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = x - x^{k+p+1} + O(x^{k+p+2})$$

$$\underline{w} \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = \exp(x^k (D_1(x) + x^p C_1)) \underline{w} + O(x^{k+p+1})$$

$$\underline{z} \circ F(x, \underline{y}) = \exp(x^k (D_2(x) + x^p C_2)) \underline{z} + O(x^{k+p+1})$$

where $(x, \underline{y}) = (x, \underline{w}, \underline{z}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}$, \underline{w} are the node variables and \underline{z} are the saddle variables. The stable manifold we find is given by a graph $\underline{z} = \varphi(x, \underline{w})$ over a domain of the form

 $S = \{ (x, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^s : x \in \text{``petal'' bisected by } \mathbb{R}^+, \|\underline{w}\| \le |x|^m \}.$

The map $\varphi: S \to \mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}$ must satisfy the invariance equation

$$\varphi(F_1(x,\underline{w},\varphi(x,\underline{w}),\overline{F_2}(x,\underline{w},\varphi(x,\underline{w}))) = \overline{F_3}(x,\underline{w},\varphi(x,\underline{w})),$$

where $F_1 = x \circ F$, $\overline{F_2} = \underline{w} \circ F$ and $\overline{F_3} = \underline{z} \circ F$.

We find φ as a fixed point of the map:

$$T\varphi(x_0,\underline{w}_0) = \sum_{j\geq 0} E(x_0) \left[\varphi(x_j,\underline{w}_j)E(x_j)^{-1} - \overline{F}_3(x_j,\underline{w}_j,\varphi(x_j,\underline{w}_j))E(x_{j+1})^{-1}\right]$$

where $(x_{j+1}, \underline{w}_{j+1}) = (F_1(x_j, \underline{w}_j, \varphi(x_j, \underline{w}_j)), \overline{F}_2(x_j, \underline{w}_j, \varphi(x_j, \underline{w}_j)))$ and $E(x) \simeq \exp\left(-\int \frac{D_2(x) + x^p C_2}{x^{p+1}} dx\right)$