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## Formulation H16P

(1) Projective classification of the ovals of a real plane algebraic curve:

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}: H(x, y)=0\right\}
$$

where $H$ is a polynomial of degree $n$
(2) Determine the maximum number $\mathcal{H}(n)$ of limit cycles of $X \leftrightarrow P_{n} d y-Q_{n} d x$,

$$
X \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=P_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \\
\dot{y}=Q_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} b_{i j} x^{i} y^{j}
\end{array}, a_{i j}, b_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\right.
$$
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where $H$ is a polynomial of degree $n$
(2) Determine the maximum number $\mathcal{H}(n)$ of limit cycles of $X \leftrightarrow P_{n} d y-Q_{n} d x$,

$$
x \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=P_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \\
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## Analogy

- Method of continuous variation of the coefficients
- Algebraic Ovals - Theorem of Harnack
- Limit cycles (transcendental) - Finiteness of the Hilbert numbers $\mathcal{H}(n)$ far from complete


## Some known results

- $\mathcal{H}(2) \geq 4$ [1979, Shi, Chen and Wang]
- $\mathcal{H}(3) \geq 12$ [2005, Yu and Han]
- $\mathcal{H}(4) \geq 22$ [2005, Christopher]
- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq k n^{2} \ln n$ for some constant $k$ [1995, Christopher and Lloyd]
- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq \frac{1}{4}(n+1)^{2}\left(1.442695 \ln (n+1)-\frac{1}{6}\right)+n-\frac{2}{3}[2003, \mathrm{~J}$. Li]
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- $\mathcal{H}(3) \geq 12$ [2005, Yu and Han]
- $\mathcal{H}(4) \geq 22$ [2005, Christopher]
- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq k n^{2} \ln n$ for some constant $k$ [1995, Christopher and Lloyd]
- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq \frac{1}{4}(n+1)^{2}\left(1.442695 \ln (n+1)-\frac{1}{6}\right)+n-\frac{2}{3}[2003, \mathrm{~J}$. Li]
- Individual finiteness
- 1923 Dulac
- 1985 Bamon ( $n=2$ )
- 1990s Ilyashenko and Ecalle
- Uniform finiteness
- By compactification of phase and parameter space
- Roussarie reduction to prove local finite cyclicity of limit periodic sets
de Barcelona


## Setting and notations

- $X_{H} \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}\dot{x}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(x, y) \\ \dot{y}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(x, y)\end{array}\right.$, where $H$ is polynomial of degree $n+1$
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- $X_{H} \leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}\dot{x}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}(x, y) \\ \dot{y}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(x, y)\end{array}\right.$, where $H$ is polynomial of degree $n+1$
- period annulus
- $\gamma(h) \equiv\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}: H(x, y)=h\right\}$


## Formulation of weak H16P for limit cycles

- $X=X_{H}+$ 'polynomial perturbation'
- Weakened Hilbert's 16th Problem
- Tangential Hilbert's 16th Problem
- Infinitesimal Hilbert's 16th Problem
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- $X_{\varepsilon}=X_{H}+\varepsilon Y_{\varepsilon} \leftrightarrow \omega=d H+\varepsilon \omega_{\varepsilon}$
- Define the Abelian integral

$$
I(h)=\int_{\gamma(h)} \omega_{0} .
$$

- Abelian integral is the integral of a rational 1-form along an algebraic oval


## Formulation of weak H16P for zeroes of associated Abelian integral

(1) Determine
$Z(n, H)=\sup \left\{\right.$ number of zeroes of $I(h)$ where $\left.h \in\left[0, h_{0}\right]\right\}$, where the sup is taken over all polynomial 1-forms $\omega_{0}$ of degree $\leq n$
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Relation between $\mathcal{H}$ and the weakened Hilbert's numbers $L C$ and $Z$

- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq L C(n)$
- $L C(n, H) \geq Z(n, H)$.
- $\mathcal{H}(n) \geq L C(n) \geq Z(n)$.
- $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1 \leq Z(n)<\infty$ [llyashenko - Varchenko and Khovanskii]
- $Z(2)=2$ [Gavrilov]
- Conjecture: $Z(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-1$


## Limit cycles and Abelian integral

- Displacement map:

$$
\delta(h, \varepsilon)=P(h, \varepsilon)-h=\int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}(h)} \mathrm{d} H
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where $h$ the value of the Hamiltonian and $\varepsilon$ small

## Limit cycles and Abelian integral

- Displacement map:

$$
\delta(h, \varepsilon)=P(h, \varepsilon)-h=\int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}(h)} \mathrm{d} H
$$

where $h$ the value of the Hamiltonian and $\varepsilon$ small

- For $h$ in the interior of a period annulus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(h, \varepsilon) & =\varepsilon[I(h)+\varepsilon \varphi(h, \varepsilon)] \\
\varphi(h, \varepsilon) & =O(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak Hilbert's 16th Problem for Abelian integrals

## Theorem (Pontryagin)

Suppose that I $(h)$ is not identically zero for $h \in(a, b)$, then the following statements hold:

- If $X_{\varepsilon}$ has a limit cycle bifurcating from $\gamma_{h^{*}}$, then I $\left(h^{*}\right)=0$
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## Theorem (Pontryagin)

Suppose that I $(h)$ is not identically zero for $h \in(a, b)$, then the following statements hold:

- If $X_{\varepsilon}$ has a limit cycle bifurcating from $\gamma_{h^{*}}$, then I $\left(h^{*}\right)=0$
- If there exists an $h^{*} \in(a, b)$ such that $I\left(h^{*}\right)=0$ and $I^{\prime}\left(h^{*}\right) \neq 0$, then $X_{\varepsilon}$ has a unique limit cycle bifurcating from $\gamma\left(h^{*}\right)$; moreover this limit cycle is hyperbolic.
- If there exists an $h^{*} \in(a, b)$ such that
$I\left(h^{*}\right)=I^{\prime}\left(h^{*}\right)=\ldots=I^{(k-1)}\left(h^{*}\right)=0$ and $I^{(k)}\left(h^{*}\right) \neq 0$, then $X_{\varepsilon}$ has at most $k$ limit cycles bifurcating from $\gamma\left(h^{*}\right)$, taking into account the multiplicity of the limit cycles.


## Example

- Van der Pol equation $x^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon\left(x^{2}-1\right) x^{\prime}+x=0$,

$$
x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=-x+\varepsilon\left(1-x^{2}\right) y
$$

- For $\varepsilon=0$ : Hamiltonian system with $\gamma(h)=\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}=h, h>0\right\}$
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- $I(h)=-\int_{\gamma(h)}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y d x=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1-h \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=$ $\pi h\left(\frac{h}{4}-1\right)$


## Example

- Van der Pol equation $x^{\prime \prime}+\varepsilon\left(x^{2}-1\right) x^{\prime}+x=0$,

$$
x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=-x+\varepsilon\left(1-x^{2}\right) y
$$

- For $\varepsilon=0$ : Hamiltonian system with $\gamma(h)=\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}=h, h>0\right\}$
- $I(h)=-\int_{\gamma(h)}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y d x=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1-h \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta=$ $\pi h\left(\frac{h}{4}-1\right)$
- $h=0$ corresponds to the singularity of $X_{H}$
- $h=4$ corresponds to the periodic orbit $x^{2}+y^{2}=4$; unique and hyperbolic
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- $M_{k}$ is called the $k$ th order Melnikov function (in general not Abelian integral)


## Melnikov functions

- I $(h)$ is also called first order Melnikov function
- If $\exists k \geq 1$ such that

$$
\delta(h, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{k} M_{k}(\varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- $M_{k}$ is called the $k$ th order Melnikov function (in general not Abelian integral)
- Theorem of Pontryagin holds when I is replaced by $M_{k}$ in case analytic


## Melnikov functions

- I $(h)$ is also called first order Melnikov function
- If $\exists k \geq 1$ such that

$$
\delta(h, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon^{k} M_{k}(\varepsilon)+O\left(\varepsilon^{k+1}\right), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- $M_{k}$ is called the $k$ th order Melnikov function (in general not Abelian integral)
- Theorem of Pontryagin holds when I is replaced by $M_{k}$ in case analytic
- If $\delta$ and $M_{k}$ analytic, then $M_{k} \equiv 0, \forall k \Longrightarrow X_{\varepsilon}$ integrable vector field


## Algorithm to compute Melnikov functions

## Definition

$H$ satisfies the condition (*) if for any analytic 1-form $\omega$ holds the following:

$$
\int_{\gamma(h)} \omega \equiv 0, h \in \sigma
$$

if and only if $\omega=\mathrm{d} R+g \mathrm{~d} H$, for some analytic functions $g, R$
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## Definition

$H$ satisfies the condition $(*)$ if for any analytic 1-form $\omega$ holds the following:

$$
\int_{\gamma(h)} \omega \equiv 0, h \in \sigma
$$

if and only if $\omega=\mathrm{d} R+g \mathrm{~d} H$, for some analytic functions $g, R$

## Lemma

If $H$ satisfies the condition $(*): M_{j} \equiv 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq k-1$, then there exist $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}$ such that
$\omega=q_{1} d H+d R_{1}, q_{1}=q_{2} d H+d R_{2}, \ldots, q_{k-1} \omega=q_{k} d H+d R_{k}$ and

$$
M_{k}(h)=\int_{\gamma(h)} q_{k} \omega
$$

where $\omega_{i}$ is defined by $\omega_{\varepsilon}=d H+\varepsilon \omega_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \omega_{2}+\ldots+\varepsilon^{k} w_{k}+o\left(\varepsilon^{k}\right), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## Condition (*)
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## Condition (*)

- [Ilyashenko, 1969] $H(x, y)=y^{2} / 2+P(x)$ has $(\operatorname{deg}(P)-1)^{2}$ distinct critical points
- [Françoise, 1996] $H(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$
- [Gavrilov, 1998] $H(x, y)=y^{2} / 2+P(x)$ has $(\operatorname{deg}(P)-1)^{2}$ distinct critical points $H$ semi-weighted Morse polynomial
- [Gavrilov, 1998] H semi-weighted Morse polynomial, family $(\gamma(h))$ surrounds only 1 critical point of $H$
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## Lemma

If $\omega$ is a polynomial 1 -form of degree $n$, then

$$
\int_{\gamma\left(h^{2}\right)} \omega=h^{2} Q_{n-1}(h),
$$

for a polynomial $Q_{n-1}(h)$ of degree $n-1$ with $Q_{n-1}(h)=Q_{n-1}(-h)$
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## Lemma

If $\omega$ is a polynomial 1-form of degree $n$, then

$$
\int_{\gamma\left(h^{2}\right)} \omega=h^{2} Q_{n-1}(h),
$$

for a polynomial $Q_{n-1}(h)$ of degree $n-1$ with $Q_{n-1}(h)=Q_{n-1}(-h)$

## Corollary

$I(h)$ has at most $(n-1) / 2$ zeroes except the trivial zero $h=0$
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- Define $I_{j}(h)=\int_{\gamma(h)} x^{k} y d x$
- $0 \equiv d H=\left(1-x^{2}\right) d x+y d y \Longrightarrow\left(1-x^{2}\right) y d x+y^{2} d y \equiv 0 \Longrightarrow$ $I_{0}(h) \equiv I_{2}(h)$
- $(2 k+9) I_{k+2}(h)-3(2 k+3) I_{k}(h)+6 k h I_{k-1}(h)=0$, where $k \geq 1$
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## Study AI related to Bogdanov-Takens codimension 2

Elliptic Hamiltonian $H(x, y)=y^{2} / 2-x^{3} / 3+x$ [Petrov]

- $\int_{\gamma(h)} \omega=\int_{\gamma(h)} p_{k}(x, h) y d x$
- Define $I_{j}(h)=\int_{\gamma(h)} x^{k} y d x$
- $0 \equiv d H=\left(1-x^{2}\right) d x+y d y \Longrightarrow\left(1-x^{2}\right) y d x+y^{2} d y \equiv 0 \Longrightarrow$ $I_{0}(h) \equiv I_{2}(h)$
- $(2 k+9) I_{k+2}(h)-3(2 k+3) I_{k}(h)+6 k h I_{k-1}(h)=0$, where $k \geq 1$
- $I(h)=Q_{0}(h) I_{0}(h)+Q_{1}(h) I_{1}(h)$, where $Q_{0}(h)$ and $Q_{1}$ are polynomials of degree $\leq n_{0} \equiv[(n-1) / 2]$ resp. $n_{1} \equiv([n / 2]-1)$.
- $I(h)$ can be expressed as linear combination of the $n=n_{0}+n_{1}+2$ independent functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{0}(h), h I_{0}(h), h^{2} I_{0}(h), \ldots, h^{n_{0}} I_{0}(h), \\
& I_{1}(h), h I_{1}(h), h^{2} I_{1}(h), \ldots, h^{n_{1}} I_{1}(h),
\end{aligned}
$$

- Any non-trivial $I_{h}$ has at most $n$ zeroes; moreover there exists a 1 -form $\omega$ such that $I(h)$ has exactly $(n-1)$ zeroes
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## Chebychev systems

## Definition

An $n$-tuple of smooth functions $J_{0}, J_{1}, \ldots, J_{n-1}$ defined on a closed interval $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right)$ is said to be a Chebychev system if every non-linear combination of the $n$ functions has at most $n-1$ zeroes in $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right)$ counting their multiplicity.

## Lemma

The bifurcation diagram of zeroes of a linear combination

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} J_{i}
$$

with respect to $\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right)$ is topologically equivalent to the one of a polynomial of degree $n-1$.

- Petrov used the argument principle on the complexification of $I$ to UAB prove Chebychev property
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## Transfer result on $Z$ to $L C$

Suppose $I(h) \neq 0$ and generic

- endpoints of finite period annulus
- center point or periodic orbit: 1-1 transfer
- saddle loop: 1-1 transfer
- heteroclinic saddle loop: more limit cycles than zeroes of the Abelian integral
$\star$ No 1-1 transfer zeroes of Al and limit cycles
* Some transfer is possible [C, Dumortier, Roussarie and Luca, 2005, 2007, 2009; Gavrilov, 2010]
- unbounded period annulus: phenomenon of limit cycles that bifurcate from infinity
- Conclusion 1-1 transfer compact annulus for which the boundary exists of singular point, periodic orbit or saddle loop
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## Limit cycle bifurcating from infinity

- Unfolding $X_{\varepsilon}$ of the Harmonic oscillator [lliev]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=y+\varepsilon y^{2}+\varepsilon^{3}\left(-a x+(x+1 / 3 y)^{3}\right) \\
& y^{\prime}=-x+3 \varepsilon x^{2}, a>0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Coordinate change $x=X+1 /(3 \varepsilon), y=Y+1 /(\varepsilon)$ :

$$
X^{\prime}=Y+\varepsilon(\ldots), Y^{\prime}=-X-3 \varepsilon X^{2}
$$

- $M_{1}=M_{2} \equiv 0$
- $M_{3}(h)=5 \pi h / 18(h-18 a / 5)$
- $M_{3}(h)=0$ and $\frac{d M_{3}}{d h}(18 a / 5)=\pi a>0$
- In original coordinates: for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a unique limit cycle of $X_{\varepsilon}$ approaching the circle

$$
(x-1 /(3 \varepsilon))^{2}+(y+1 / \varepsilon)^{2}=18 a / 5
$$

de Barcelona

## Upper bound for limit cycles

Theorem (Upper bound - Dumortier, Roussarie)
Under extra genericity conditions (AI) and (C)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{CycI}\left(X_{\lambda},\left(\Gamma,\left(\nu_{0}, 0\right)\right)\right) & \leq 2 k-1+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \text { if } \operatorname{codimI}_{\nu}=2 k-1, \text { and } \\
& \leq 2 k+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \text { if } \operatorname{codimI}_{\nu}=2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $I_{\nu}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $13 \cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X_{(\nu, \varepsilon)}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 26 | 27 | $34 \cdots$ |
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## Theorem (Dumortier, Roussarie)

Swallowtail bifurcation of limit cycles in such a generic codim 4 unfolding

## Corollary

$\exists$ an alien limit cycle in such a generic codim 4 unfolding

## Generic codimension 4 example

- Hamiltonian $H(x, y)=y\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{12} y^{2}-1\right)$
- $X_{(\nu, \varepsilon)} \leftrightarrow$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}= & 1-\frac{1}{4} y^{2}-x^{2} \\
& +\varepsilon\left(\nu_{3} x y+\nu_{4} x y^{2}+y\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{12} y^{2}-1\right)\left(x-\frac{\sqrt{3} \pi}{8} x y\right)\right) \\
\dot{y}= & 2 x y+\varepsilon y\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} x\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Genericity conditions (AI)- Abelian integral

- Abelian integral
$I(h, \nu)=p(\nu)+q(\nu) h \log h+r(\nu) h+s(\nu) h^{2} \log h+O\left(h^{2}\right), h \downarrow 0$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\nu)=-\sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{1}+8 \nu_{3}-3 \sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{4} \\
& q(\nu)=-\nu_{1} \\
& r(\nu)=a_{1} \nu_{1}-\sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{3}+12 \nu_{4} \\
& s(\nu)=a_{4} \nu_{1}+b_{4} \nu_{3}+c_{3} \nu_{4}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $a_{1}, a_{4}, b_{4}, c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$

## Genericity conditions (AI)- Abelian integral

- Abelian integral
$I(h, \nu)=p(\nu)+q(\nu) h \log h+r(\nu) h+s(\nu) h^{2} \log h+O\left(h^{2}\right), h \downarrow 0$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\nu) & =-\sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{1}+8 \nu_{3}-3 \sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{4} \\
q(\nu) & =-\nu_{1} \\
r(\nu) & =a_{1} \nu_{1}-\sqrt{3} \pi \nu_{3}+12 \nu_{4} \\
s(\nu) & =a_{4} \nu_{1}+b_{4} \nu_{3}+c_{3} \nu_{4}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $a_{1}, a_{4}, b_{4}, c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$

- $\alpha_{1}(\nu)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right)$.
- (AI) $\nu \mapsto\left(p(\nu), q(\nu), r(\nu), \alpha_{1}(\nu, 0)\right)$ is a local submersion at $\nu=\nu_{0}$


## Codimension 4 extra genericity condition (C)

$\Delta=D_{2} \circ R_{2}-R_{1} \circ D_{1}=\varepsilon I_{\nu}+" O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) ", \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
Expressed in apropriate normal form coordinates locally:

- $(u, v)$ near $s_{1}$
- $(z, w)$ near $s_{2}$
$R_{1}(v)=v+\varepsilon\left(-\beta_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)+\gamma_{1} v+\eta_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon) v^{2}+O\left(v^{3}\right)\right), v \downarrow 0$.
$R_{2}(u)=u+\varepsilon\left(\eta_{2}(\nu, \varepsilon) u^{2}+O\left(u^{3}\right)\right), u \downarrow 0$
(C): $\eta_{2}(0) \neq 2 \eta_{1}(0)$


## Limit cycle bifurcating from 2-saddle cycle

## Theorem (Caubergh, Dumortier, Roussarie)

- $C^{\infty}$ Unfolding leaving 1 connection unbroken
- codimI ${ }_{\nu}=2 k-1$ and extra genericity condition
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## Theorem (Caubergh, Dumortier, Roussarie)

- $C^{\infty}$ Unfolding leaving 1 connection unbroken
- $\operatorname{codiml}_{\nu}=2 k-1$ and extra genericity condition
- normal forms near the saddle points $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are linear and $r_{1} r_{2}=1$, for their ratios $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of hyperbolicity; near $s_{2}$,

$$
X_{(\nu, \varepsilon)} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases}\dot{z} & =-z \\ \dot{w} & =w(1+\varepsilon \alpha)\end{cases}
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## Limit cycle bifurcating from 2-saddle cycle

## Theorem (Caubergh, Dumortier, Roussarie)

- $C^{\infty}$ Unfolding leaving 1 connection unbroken
- codiml $\nu_{\nu}=2 k-1$ and extra genericity condition
- normal forms near the saddle points $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are linear and $r_{1} r_{2}=1$, for their ratios $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of hyperbolicity; near $s_{2}$,

$$
X_{(\nu, \varepsilon)} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases}\dot{z} & =-z \\ \dot{w} & =w(1+\varepsilon \alpha)\end{cases}
$$

Near $s_{1}$,

$$
X_{(\nu, \varepsilon)} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases}\dot{x} & =x \\ \dot{y} & =-y(1+\varepsilon \alpha)\end{cases}
$$

$\Rightarrow \exists(k-2)$ alien limit cycles.

